OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER, CENTRAL EXCISE, SERVICE TAX & CUSTOMS, 48, ADMINISTRATIVE AREA, ARERA HILLS, HOSHANGABAD ROAD, BHOPAL-462011

F.NO. IV (16)23/ST/BPL/2013

dated: 05.09.2013

PUBLIC NOTICE NO. 04/BPL/2013

(Service Tax)

Subject: The Service Tax Voluntary Compliance Encouragement Scheme clarification regarding.

Attention of the field formations, service providers, trade & industries, the public in general and all concerned is invited that the Service Tax Voluntary Compliance Encouragement Scheme(VCES)2013 has came into effect from 10.05.2013. Some of the issues raised with reference to the Scheme have been clarified by the Board vide Circular No. 170/5/2013-ST. dated 08.08.2013. Subsequently, references have been received by the Board seeking further clarification as regards the scope and applicability of the Scheme.

The issues have been examined and clarifications thereto are as follows:

| 2. S. No. | The issues have been examined and clarifications thereto Issues | Clarification |
|--------------|--|---|
| 1 | Whether the communications, wherein department has sought information of roving nature from potential taxpayer regarding their business activities without seeking any documents from such person or calling his presence, while quoting the authority of section 14 of the Central Excise Act, 1944, would attract the provision of section 106(2) (a)? | Attention is invited to clarification issued at S> NO> Of the circular No. 169/4/2013-ST, dated 13.5.2013, as regards the scope of section 106 (2) (a) of the Finance Act. 2013, wherem it has been clarified that the provision of section 106 (2) (a) (iii) shall be attracted only in such cases where accounts, documents or other evidence are requisitioned by the authorized officer from the declarant under the authority of a stautory provision. A communication of the nature as mentioned in the previous column would not attract the provision of section 106(2)(a) even though the authority of section 14 of the Central Liveise Act may have been authority therein. |
| 2 | An assessee has two different locations, say Mumbai and Ahmedabad. Both are separately registered. The | Two separate service registrations are two distinct assesses for the perpose of service tax levy. Therefore, eligibility of availing of the Scheme |

| | Notice for non-payment of tax on a revenue stream but the Ahmedabad unit has not. Whether the Ahmedabad unit is | is to be determined accordingly. The unit that has not been issued a show cause notice shall be eligible to make a declaration under the Scheme. |
|---|--|---|
| 3 | Whether a declaration can be made under the Scheme in respect of CENVAT credit wrongly utilized for payment of service | Any service tax that has been paid utilizing the irregular credit, amounts to non-payment of service tax. Therefore such service tax amount is covered under the definition of "tax dues". Yes. There is no bar from filing of declaration in |
| 4 | Whether a party, against whom an inquiry, investigation or addit has been initiated after 1.3.2013 (the cutoff date) can make a declaration under the Scheme? | such cases. |
| 5 | There was a default and a Show Cause Notice was issued for the period prior to the period covered by the Scheme, i.e. before Oct 2007. Whether declaration can be filed for default on the subsequent period? | from Oct 2007 to Dec 2012. Therefore, the 2 nd proviso to section 103 (1) shall be attracted only in such cases where a show cause notice or order of determination has been issued for the period from Oct 2007 to Dec 2012. Accordingly, issuance of a show cause notice or order of determination for any |
| K | | period prior to Oct 2007, on an issue, would no make a person ineligible to make a declaration under the Scheme on the same issue for the period covered by the Scheme. Therefore, declaration as he made under VCES |
| 6 | In a case where the assessee has been audited and an audit para has been issued, whether the assessee can declare liability on an issue which is not a part of the audit para, under the VCES 2013? | para. |
| 7 | Whether a person, who has paid service tax for a particular period but failed to file return, can take the benefit of VCES Scheme so as to avoid payment of penalty for non-filing of return? | pending, but return has not bleen filed, does not come under the ambit of the Scheme. Howeverule 7C of the Schoice Tax Rules provides for waiver of penalty in deserving cases where return has not been filed and, in such cases, the assessemay seek relief under rule 7C. |
| 8 | 'A person has made part payment of his 'tax dues' on any issue before the scheme was notified and makes the declaration under VCES for the remaining part of the tax dues. Will he be entitled to the benefit of non-payment of interest/penalty on the tax dues paid by him outside the VCES i.e., (amount paid prior to VCES)? | If any "tax dues" have been paid prior to the enactment of the scheme, any liability of interest penalty thereon shall be adjudicated as per the provisions of Chapter V of the Finance Act, 199 and paid accordingly. |
| 9 | Whether an assessee, who, during a part of the period covered by the Scheme, is in dispute on an issue with the department under an erstwhile provision of law, care declare his liability under the amended provisions, while continuing to litigate the outstanding liability under the erstwhile provision on the issue? | t issued to a person in respect of any issue, in declaration shall be made by such person respect of "tax dues" on the same issue to subsequent period. Therefore, if an issue is being the subsequent period. |

| | | period. The same issue for the subsequent |
|-------------|---|--|
| 10 | declarant realizes that the declaration filed by him was incorrect by mistake? Can he file an amended declaration? | The declarant is expected to declare his tax dues correctly. In case the mistake is discovered submoto by the declarant himself, he may approach the designated authority, who, after taking into account the overall facts of the case may allow amendments to be made in the declaration, provided that the amended declaration is furnished by declarant before the cut off date for filing of declaration, i.e., 31.12.2013. |
| 11 | What is the consequence if the designated authority does not issue an acknowledgement within seven working days of filing of declaration? Whether the declarant can start making payment of the tax dues even if acknowledgement is not issued? | Department would ensure that all acknowledgement is issued in seven working days from the date of filing of the declaration. It may however be noted that payment of tax dues under the Scheme is not linked to the issuance of an acknowledgement. The declarant can pay tax dues even before the acknowledgement is issued by the department. |
| 12 | Whether declarant will be given an opportunity to be heard and explain his cases before the rejection of a declaration | Yes. In terms of section 106 (2) of the Finance Act, 2013, the designated authority shall, by an order, and for reasons to be recorded in writing, reject a |
| 2 3 3 | under section 106(2) by the designated authority? | declaration if any inquiry/investigation or audit was pending against the declarant as on the cutoff date, i.e., 1.3.2013. An order under this section shall be passed following the principles of natural justice |
| | | To allay any apprehension of undue delays and uncertainty, it is clarified that the designated authority if he has reasons to believe that the declaration is covered by section 106 (2), shall give a notice of intention to reject the declaration within 30 days of the date of filing of the declaration stating the reasons for the intention to reject the declaration. For declarations already filed, the said period of 30 days would apply from the date of this circular. |
| | | The declarant shall be given an expertunity to be heard before any order is passed by the designated authority. The Scheme does not have a statutory provision to |
| 13 | What is the appeal mechanism against the order of the designated authority whereby he rejects the declaration under section 106 (2) of the Finance Act, 2013? | filing of appeal against the order for rejection of declaration under section 106 (2) by the designator authority. |
| 14 | A declarant pays a certain amount under the Scheme and subsequently his declaration is rejected. Would the amount so paid by him be adjusted against his liability that may be determined by the department? | liability that is determined by the department |
| | Section 111 prescribes that where the | The Commissioner would in the Overall lads of |

(1)

| | Commissioner of Central Excise has reasons to believe that the declaration made by the declarant was 'substantially false', he may serve a notice on the declarant in respect of such declaration. However, what constitutes a 'substantially false' declaration has not been specified. | believe, take a judicious view as to whether a declaration is 'substantially false'. It is not feasible to define the term "substantially false" in precise terms. The proceeding under section 111 would be |
|------|--|---|
| | | To illustrate, a declarant has declared his "tax dues" as Rs 25 lakh. However, Commissioner has specific information that declaration has been made only for part liability, and the actual "tax dues"—are Rs 50 lakh. This declaration would fall in the category of "substantially false". This example is only illustrative. |
| 16 | What is the consequence if a declarant fails to pay atleast 50% of declared amount of tax dues by the 31 st Dec 2013? | One of the conditions of the \$cheme (section 107 (3)) is that the declarant shall pay atleast an amount equal to 50% of the declared tax dues under the Scheme, on or before the 31.12.2013. Therefore, if the declarant fails to pay atleast 50% of the declared tax dues by 31 st Dec. 2013, he would not be eligible to avail of the benefit of the scheme. |
| 17 | Whether the CENVAT credit is admissible on the inputs/input services used for provision of output service in respect of which declaration has been made under VCES for payment of any tax liability outside the VCES? | The VCES Rules 2013 prescribe that CENVAT credit cannot be utilized for payment of "tax dues" under the Scheme Accordingly the "tax dues" under the Scheme shall be paid in cash. |
| . 18 | (a) Whether the tax dues amount paid under VCES would be eligible as CENVAT credit to the recipient of service under a supplementary invoice? (b) Whether cenvat credit would be admissible to the person who pays tax dues under VCES as service recipient under reverse charge mechanism? | Rule 6(2) of the Service Tax Voluntary Compliance Encouragement Rules, 2013, prescribes that CENVAT credit cannot be utilized for payment of "tax dues" under the Scheme Except this condition, all issues relating to admissibility of CENVAT credit are to be determined in terms of the provisions of the Cenvat Credit Rules. As regards admissibility of CENVAT credit in situations covered under part (a) and (b), attention is invited to rule 9(1)(bb) and 9(1)(e) respectively of the Cenvat Credit Rules. |
| 19 | In terms of section 106 (2)(b), if a declaration made by a person against whom an audit has been initiated and where such audit is pending, then the designated authority shall by an order and for reasons to be recorded in writing, reject such declaration. As the audit process may involve several stages, it may be indicated as to what event would constitute,- (i) initiation of audit; and (ii) culmination of audit. | Initiation of audit: For the purposes of VCES, the date of the visit of auditors to the unit of the taxpayer would be taken as the date of initiation of audit. A register is maintained of all visits for audit purposes. Culmination of audit: The audit process may culminate in any of the following manner— (i) Closure of audit file if no discrepancy is found in audit; (ii) Closure of audit para by the Monitoring Committee Meeting (MCM); |

- (iii)Approval of audit para by MCM and payment of amount involved therein by the party in terms of the provisions of the Finance Act-1984.
- (iv)Approval of audit para by MCM, and issuance of \$CN.if party does not agree to the para so raised.

The audit culminates at a point when the audit paras are settled in any manner as stated above.

The pendency of audit as on 1.3.2013 means an audit that has been initiated before 1.3.2013 but has not culminated as on 1.3.2013.

3. All the Members of Regional Advisory Committee, the Trade Associations and the chamber of Commerce are requested to bring the contents of this Public Notice to the knowledge of their constituent member for information, guidance and necessary action.

Authority:- CBEC's Circular No.170/5/2013-ST,

dated 08/08/2013 issued under F.No.B1/19/2013-TRU(Pt)

dated 08/08/2013

(Dr. D.K. Verma).

Commissioner